



Humanities Faculty Council

Meeting: Thursday 21 October, 2pm–4:15 pm (including a short break).

Place: Zoom

If you cannot attend the meeting, send an email to hfr@sus.su.se

Attendees: Anna Sjöström, Sherry Israel, Emelie Baiden, Jakob Nergårdh, Thomas Neijman, Naralla Kaluya, Isabel Silvander, Andreas Bengtsson, Anna Mamitzsch, Milena Podolsak, Adrian Laachiri, Magnus Ahltop

Agenda

1. Open the meeting.

The meeting started at 14:02.

2. Assign adjuster (also counts votes).

Jakob Nergårdh was chosen as the adjuster.

3. Assign interpreter.

No one was chosen as the interpreter.

4. Approve the agenda.

The agenda was approved.

5. Review of the Humanities Faculty Council's protocol.

The unfinished minutes from the previous meeting were reviewed. The adjusted minutes will be sent out before the next meeting.

6. Information points.

- a. Information on any specific, relevant e-mail to the HFR
Nothing to report.
- b. Information from SUS.
The student pub relaunched on the 27th of October and students need to pre-register to attend, there will also be a naming competition for the new pub.
The SUS office is to meet with the office of the Humanities faculty regarding PhD prolongations.
The chairman PhD council's and student chair councils as well as student representatives from RALv(Rådet för arbetsmiljö och lika villkor) shared their experiences among one another.
There will be an updated and compiled list of active student organisations at Stockholm university sent out.
- c. Information from the Dean.
PhD students should attend along with the board to the next meeting with the Dean to discuss prolongations.
 1. Items to discuss at the next meeting with the Dean.
Nothing to report.
- d. Information from the Humanities Faculty Board (Humanistiska Fakultetsnämnden).
Nothing to report.
- e. Information from the Central PhD council (CDR).
No one from the council attended the faculty council meeting and no one sent a report.
- f. Information from the Central Student council (CSR).
There's a new president for CSR, and Studenthälsan has changed their booking system so it will be easier for students. One ombudsman position was open and the candidate recommended was accepted.
- g. Information from the Academic Appointments Board, historical and philosophical subjects (Läroförslagsnämnden för de historisk-filosofiska ämnena).
For a position as senior lecturer in medieval history there were two applicants, and one was chosen. A reader in theatre studies was recommended and accepted.



- h. Information from the Academic Appointments Board, language science subjects (Lärförslagsnämnden för de språkvetenskapliga ämnena).
A position for a senior lecturer in general linguistics (language application) had three applicants and one of them was recommended, one senior lectureship in translation studies(translating non-fiction) had an applicant that was recommended.
- i. Information from Committee for Budgetary Management (Budgetberedningen).
No one attended and no written report was received.
- j. Information from the Språkstudion Reference Group.
No meeting has been held yet.
- k. Information from the board of education & quality (Arbetsgruppen för utbildning & kvalitetsfrågor, HUGO)
No representative in attendance and no written report was sent.

7. Discussion points.

a. Student and PhD questions

- 1. Discussion about how to conduct further meetings.

Some of the opinion that faculty council meetings based more upon discussion would be advantageous since it would be more interesting and would be able to discuss issues more in depth, some of the opinion that it is easier to listen to the information at the meetings than reading reports beforehand. The new format will be having a trial run for a few meetings, and then will be deemed whether or not it was beneficial.

- 2. discussions in smaller groups

PhD students: prolongation was discussed since the language concerning sick leaves and workdays wasn't clear. The PhD students also discussed a potential gathering for the Humanities Faculty Council on the 10th or 17th of December which would be after work.

Student discussion: faculty council meetings on Zoom was preferred over meetings on campus since some members are not in Stockholm or has trouble being on campus the times that the faculty council has meetings. Course descriptions were also discussed since some courses do not seem to have a proper course description available for the students, there were existing course plans but the course descriptions were either unfinished or out of date.

8. Decision points.

a) Election representation positions.

- 1. Vice-chair
No one was elected.
- 2. Board of education & quality (Arbetsgruppen för utbildning & kvalitetsfrågor, HUGO)
2 substitutes (1 Ph.D. student, graduate student)
No one was elected.
- 3. Committee for Budgetary Management (Budgetberedningen)
1 ordinary, 2 substitutes (1 Ph.D. student, 1 student)
No one was elected.
- 4. Central Student council(CSR)
2 ordinaries
No one was elected.



5. Scholarship committee (Stipendienämnden)
1 ordinary
No one was elected.
6. Humanities Faculty Board (Humanistiska Fakultetsnämnden)
1 substitute
No one was elected.
7. Academic Appointments Board, historical and philosophical subjects
(Läraryörelsen för de historisk-filosofiska ämnena)
1 ordinary
No one was elected.
8. Språkstudion Reference Group (Språkstudions referensgrupp)
1 substitute
No one was elected.
9. Format of future meetings
The proposed new format for future meetings will have a trial run on the following meeting.

9. Other questions.

No other questions.

10. Close the meeting.

The meeting was closed at 16:03



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Sherry'.

Sherry Israel
Chair

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Anna Sjöström'.

Anna Sjöström
Secretary

Jakob Nergårdh
Adjuster